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Executive summary

Background
This report provides data from Week 14 of the UK COVID-19 Social Study run by University College London: a panel study of over 90,000 respondents focusing on the psychological and social experiences of adults living in the UK during the Covid-19 pandemic.

In this FOURTEENTH report, we focus on psychological responses to the first thirteen weeks of government measures requiring people to stay at home (21/03-21/06). We present simple descriptive results on the experiences of adults in the UK. Measures include:
1. Reported compliance with government guidelines and confidence in the government
2. Mental health including depression, anxiety and stress
3. Harm including thoughts of death or self-harm, self-harm and both psychological & physical abuse
4. Psychological and social wellbeing including life satisfaction, loneliness and happiness
5. ***New in this report*** Experience of lockdown and health behaviours

This study is not representative of the UK population but instead was designed to have good stratification across a wide range of socio-demographic factors enabling meaningful subgroup analyses to understand the experience of Covid-19 for different groups within society. Data are weighted using auxiliary weights to the national census and Office for National Statistics (ONS) data. Full methods and demographics for the sample included in this report are reported in the Appendix. The study is still recruiting and people can take part by visiting www.COVIDSocialStudy.org

Findings

- 32% of adults reported that they have been enjoying being in lockdown more than not enjoying it, while 46% reported not enjoying it. Adults aged 30-59 have been enjoying lockdown the most, as have people living with others, people with higher incomes, and people living with children. There has been little difference by ethnicity.
- 26% of adults feel they will miss lockdown, while 61% feel they will not miss it overall. Adults aged 30-59 are most likely to feel they will miss it, as are people with a diagnosed mental illness.
- 50% feel they will overall not look forward to another potential lockdown, while 22% feel they would look forward to it. People aged 30-59 are most likely to look forward to another lockdown.
- Health behaviours have stayed constant across lockdown for the majority of respondents, but some adults have reported engaging more in less healthy behaviours, including 17% of adults reporting eating more than usual, 23% reporting eating less healthily than normal, 40% reporting gaining weight (4% reporting gaining lots of weight), 17% reporting drinking more than normal, 33% reporting smoking more than usual, and 11% reporting gambling more than usual.
- Young people have been most likely to change their eating and diet behaviours, as have women and people from Black and minority ethnic (BAME) groups. Adults aged 30-59 and women are most likely to have gained weight, whilst adults under the age of 30 and people from BAME groups are most likely to have lost weight. Younger adults, women and people from BAME groups are more likely to have drunk less than usual, although cigarette usage has gone up more in these groups. Men are more likely to have been gambling less than usual, although younger adults have changed their gambling behaviours most in both directions, with some increasing and some decreasing.
- Compliance with government guidelines has plateaued over the last two weeks. Levels of confidence in the central government to handle the Covid-19 epidemic remain lower in England than in other nations, but have not decreased any further in the past week.
- This past week, mental health has remained relatively stable across all measures.
1. Compliance and confidence

1.1 Compliance with guidelines

Respondents were asked to what extent they are following the recommendations from government such as social distancing and staying at home, ranging from 1 (not at all) to 7 (completely). Of note, we ask participants to self-report their compliance, which relies on participants understanding the regulations. Figure 1 shows the percentage of people who followed the recommendations “completely” (with a score of 7) or to a large extent (with a score of 5-7; described below as “majority” compliance).

Compliance behaviours have plateaued over the last two weeks. Both “complete” and “majority” compliance have, for now, stopped decreasing, perhaps as new rules being introduced across UK nations have started to align more with behaviours that people are already engaging in. Levels of “majority” compliance differ only by age (with lower levels amongst younger adults) while “complete” compliance remain lowest amongst young people, people with higher household incomes, people in England, and people living in urban areas.

Figures 2a-2h show “complete” compliance by demographic factors, while Figures 2i-2p show “majority” compliance by demographic factors.
1.2 Confidence in Government

Respondents were asked how much confidence they had in the government to handle the Covid-19 epidemic from 1 (not at all) to 7 (lots). People living in devolved nations were asked to report their confidence in their own devolved governments.

Levels of confidence in the central government to handle the Covid-19 epidemic remain lower in England than in other nations, but have not decreased any further in the past week. However, levels of confidence in devolved governments in other UK nations have increased in the past week.\(^1\)

For subgroup analyses in Figures 4a-d and 4f-h, we restrict our results to respondents living in England in order to have sufficient sample sizes for meaningful subgroup analyses (future analyses will look at subgroups in devolved nations). In England, confidence in government is still lowest in those under the age of 30, with average scores of 2.6 out of 7. Scores amongst adults over the age of 30 are around 3.5-4. Confidence is also lower in urban areas and in people with a mental health diagnosis. Confidence is also slightly lower in people of higher household income.

\(^1\) Figures for Northern Ireland show greater volatility but this is likely a function of the sample size in Northern Ireland being smaller than for other countries.
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2. Mental Health

2.1 Depression and anxiety

Respondents were asked about depression levels during the past week using the Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9) and anxiety using the Generalised Anxiety Disorder assessment (GAD-7); standard instruments for diagnosing depression and anxiety in primary care. These are 9 and 7 items respectively with 4-point responses ranging from “not at all” to “nearly every day”, with higher overall scores indicating more symptoms. Scores of higher than 10 can indicate major depression or moderate anxiety.

In the past two weeks, depression and anxiety levels have remained relatively stable. Although this study focuses on trajectories rather than prevalence, the levels overall are higher than usual reported averages using the same scales (2.7-3.2 for anxiety and 2.7-3.7 for depression²). Depression and anxiety are still highest in young people, those living alone, those with lower household income, people with a diagnosed mental illness, people living with children, and people living in urban areas.

---

2.2 Stress

We asked participants to report which factors were causing them stress in the last week, either minor stress or major stress (which was defined as stress that was constantly on their mind or kept them awake at night). We present both minor and major stressors together to give a more detailed sense of how different factors are worrying people.

There has been little change in people reporting major or minor stress due to catching COVID-19, unemployment, finance, or getting food in the past week. Stress relating to Covid-19 (both catching Covid-19 and becoming seriously ill from Covid-19) remains the most prevalent stressor, but is still not affecting the majority of people, with only 40% reporting it. Worries about finance are affecting around 1 in 4 people, while worries about unemployment are only affecting 1 in 6 at present. Worries about access to food are only affecting around 1 in 15 people.

People with diagnosed mental illness have been more worried about all factors. But other predictors of stressors have varied. People with lower household income have worried more about Covid-19, finances and access to food, while people with higher household income have worried more about unemployment. People living with children have worried more about all factors, but the differences on worries relating to Covid-19 and food access has diminished as lockdown has eased. Older adults have worried less about unemployment and food. Unemployment has worried people in England and in urban areas more.
3. Self-harm and abuse

3.1 Thought of death or self-harm

Thought of death or self-harm are measured using a specific item within the PHQ-9 that asks whether, in the last week, someone has had “thoughts that you would be better off dead or of hurting yourself in some way”. Responses are on a 4-point scale ranging from “not at all” to “nearly every day”. We focused on any response that indicated having such thoughts.

There continues to be no clear change in thoughts of death since the easing of lockdown was announced. Percentages of people having thoughts of death or self-harm have been relatively stable throughout the past 13 weeks. They remain higher amongst younger people, those with a lower household income, and people with a diagnosed mental health condition. They are also higher in people living alone and those living in urban areas.
### 3.2 Self-harm

Self-harm was assessed using a question that asks whether someone in the last week has been “self-harming or deliberately hurting yourself”. Responses are on a 4-point scale ranging from “not at all” to “nearly every day”. We focused on any response that indicated any self-harming.

Self-harm has remained relatively stable since the easing of lockdown was announced. Consistently across lockdown, self-harm has been reported to be higher amongst younger adults, those with lower household income, and those with a diagnosed mental health condition. It is also slightly higher amongst people living in urban areas.

It should be noted that not all people who self-harm will necessarily report it, so these levels are anticipated to be an under-estimation of actual levels.
Figure 16a Self-harm by age groups
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Figure 16d Self-harm by mental health diagnosis
3.3 Abuse

Abuse was measured using two questions that ask if someone has experienced in the last week “being physically harmed or hurt by someone else” or “being bullied, controlled, intimidated, or psychologically hurt by someone else”. Responses are on a 4-point scale ranging from “not at all” to “nearly every day”. We focused on any response on either item that indicated any experience of psychological or physical abuse.

Abuse has remained relatively stable since the easing of lockdown was announced. Abuse has been reported to be higher amongst adults under the age of 60, those with lower household income and those with existing mental health conditions. It is also slightly higher in people living with children compared to those living with just other adults.

It should be noted that not all people who are experiencing abuse will necessarily report it, so these levels are anticipated to be an under-estimation of actual levels.
Figure 18a Abuse by age groups
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Figure 18d Abuse by mental health diagnosis
4. General well-being

4.1 Life satisfaction

Respondents were asked to rate their life satisfaction during the past week using the ONS wellbeing scale, which asks respondents about how satisfied they are with their life, using a scale from 0 (not at all) to 10 (completely).

Life satisfaction has shown suggestions of further slight improvements in the past week, but this remains to be confirmed in future reports and may simply represent minor data variation.

Whilst life satisfaction was lower amongst people with children during lockdown, this difference has disappeared as lockdown has eased. It remains lowest in younger adults, people living alone, people with lower household income, people with diagnosed mental health conditions, and people living in urban areas. But it is similar across UK nations and amongst key workers.

Life satisfaction is still noticeably lower than for the past 12 months (where usual averages are around 7.7), and wellbeing more generally appears to have decreased substantially in the weeks preceding lockdown[^1].

4.2 Loneliness

Respondents were asked about levels of loneliness using the 3-item UCLA-3 loneliness, a short form of the Revised UCLA Loneliness Scale (UCLA-R). Each item is rated with a 3-point rating scale, ranging from “never” to “always”, with higher scores indicating greater loneliness.

Loneliness levels continue to remain relatively consistent and have notably not decreased since lockdown easing began. This is notable given that opportunities for socialising in person are now greater than over the past 13 weeks.

Levels of loneliness are still higher amongst younger adults, those with lower household income levels, and those with an existing diagnosed mental health condition. They are higher amongst people with children, and people living in urban areas.
4.3 Happiness

Respondents were asked to rate to what extent they felt happy during the past week using the Office for National Statistics wellbeing scale on a scale from 0 (not at all) to 10 (completely). Happiness ratings are only available from 21st April onwards.

Happiness was relatively stable across the second part of lockdown, but increased slightly as lockdown restrictions began to be lifted. Levels seem to have plateaued for now. Happiness levels have been lowest across lockdown amongst younger adults, those living alone, those with lower household income, people with diagnosed mental health conditions, and people living in urban areas.
5. Experience of lockdown

5.1 Enjoying lockdown

We asked participants to report how much they have been enjoying lockdown on a scale from 1 (not at all) to 7 (very much). 17% of respondents reported not enjoying lockdown at all, and only 4% reported enjoying it very much. When looking at the balance of responses, 32% of the respondents reported overall enjoying lockdown (scores 5-7) while 46% reported overall not enjoying it (scores 1-3). 21% of respondents were uncertain about their feelings.

Adults aged 30-59 have been enjoying lockdown the most, as have people living with others, people with higher household incomes, people without any prior mental health conditions, and people living with children. People living in England have also been enjoying lockdown more compared to people in Scotland and Wales. There has been little difference by ethnicity.

People who have been enjoying lockdown least include younger and older adults, people living alone, people with lower household income, and people with an existing diagnosed mental health condition; many of the same groups who have experienced poorest mental health across the last 14 weeks.
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5.2 Miss being in lockdown

We asked participants to report how much they thought they would miss lockdown on a scale from 1 (not at all) to 7 (very much). 36% of people felt they would not miss it at all while just 5% said they would miss it very much. When looking at the balance of responses, 26% reported that overall they would miss lockdown (scores 5-7), while 61% felt overall they would not miss it at all (scores 1-3), and 13% were uncertain about their feelings.

Older adults, people living alone, and people with lower household income were most likely not to miss lockdown. However, adults aged 30-59 were most likely to miss it, as were people with a diagnosed mental illness and people from BAME groups. People living in England, keyworkers and people living with children were also more likely to miss being in lockdown.
Figure 28e Miss being in lockdown by nations

Figure 28f Miss being in lockdown by keyworker status

Figure 28g Miss being in lockdown by living with children

Figure 28h Miss being in lockdown by ethnicity
5.3 Feelings about future lockdowns

Figure 29 Feeling about future lockdowns

We asked participants to report how they would feel about a potential future lockdown on a scale from 1 (I would dread it) to 7 (I would really look forward to it). 18% of people felt they would dread it just 4% felt they would really look forward to it. When looking at the balance of responses, 50% felt they would overall not look forward to it (scores 1-3), while 22% felt they would overall look forward to it (scores 5-7), and 27% were mixed in their feelings.

People aged 30-59 were most likely to look forward to another lockdown, and there was a small indication that people living with others, people with higher household income, people living in England, keyworkers, people living with children, and people from BAME groups would also look forward to it slightly more or dread it slightly less. People with mental illness are more likely to dread a future lockdown, with 1 in 4 dreading it and 1 in 2 feeling overall negative about it, but a further 1 in 4 would still feel overall positive about it.
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6. Health behaviours

6.1 Health behaviours

Health behaviours have stayed constant across lockdown for the majority of respondents, with 73% reporting no change in volume of food consumption, 70% reporting no change in the healthiness of their diet, 40% reporting no change in their weight, 56% reporting no change in the amount of alcohol they drank or the number of cigarettes they smoked, and 73% showing no change in the amount they gambled. However, some adults reported engaging more in less healthy behaviours, including 17% of adults reporting eating more than usual, 23% reporting eating less healthily than normal, 40% reporting gaining weight (4% reporting gaining lots of weight), 17% reporting drinking more than normal, 33% reporting smoking more than usual, and 11% reporting gambling more than usual.

Older adults have been least likely to change their eating and diet behaviours, while young people have been more likely to change their eating and diet behaviours, as have women and people from BAME groups. Adults aged 30-59 and women have been most likely to have gained weight, whilst adults under the age of 30 and people from BAME groups have been most likely to have lost weight. Younger adults, women and people from BAME groups have been more likely to have drunk less than usual, although cigarette usage has gone up more in these groups. Men have been more likely to have been gambling less than usual, although younger adults have changed their gambling behaviours most in both directions, with some increasing and some decreasing.

---

Findings

Health behaviours have stayed constant across lockdown for the majority of respondents, with 73% reporting no change in volume of food consumption, 70% reporting no change in the healthiness of their diet, 40% reporting no change in their weight, 56% reporting no change in the amount of alcohol they drank or the number of cigarettes they smoked, and 73% showing no change in the amount they gambled.

However, some adults reported engaging more in less healthy behaviours, including 17% of adults reporting eating more than usual, 23% reporting eating less healthily than normal, 40% reporting gaining weight (4% reporting gaining lots of weight), 17% reporting drinking more than normal, 33% reporting smoking more than usual, and 11% reporting gambling more than usual.

Older adults have been least likely to change their eating and diet behaviours, while young people have been more likely to change their eating and diet behaviours, as have women and people from BAME groups. Adults aged 30-59 and women have been most likely to have gained weight, whilst adults under the age of 30 and people from BAME groups have been most likely to have lost weight. Younger adults, women and people from BAME groups have been more likely to have drunk less than usual, although cigarette usage has gone up more in these groups. Men have been more likely to have been gambling less than usual, although younger adults have changed their gambling behaviours most in both directions, with some increasing and some decreasing.

---

4 Alcohol, cigarette use and gambling behaviours are only reported for people who said they usually engage in these behaviours. All results are subject to bias in participant reporting, which will be explored further in future statistical analyses, but should be considered in the interpretation of differences reported here.
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Appendix

Methods

The Covid-19 Social Study is a panel study of the psychological and social experiences of adults in the UK during the outbreak of the novel coronavirus run by University College London and funded by the Nuffield Foundation, UKRI and the Wellcome Trust. To date, over 70,000 people have participated in the study, providing baseline socio-demographic and health data as well as answering questions on their mental health and wellbeing, the factors causing them stress, their levels of social interaction and loneliness, their adherence to and trust in government recommendations, and how they are spending their time. The study is not representative of the UK population, but instead it aims to have good representation across all major socio-demographic groups. The study sample has therefore been recruited through a variety of channels including through the media, through targeted advertising by online advertising companies offering pro-bono support to ensure this stratification, and through partnerships with organisations representing vulnerable groups, enabling meaningful subgroup analyses.

In this report, we treated the data as repeated cross-sectional data collected daily from the 21st March to the 21st June (the latest data available) across baseline and follow-up surveys. Aiming at a representative sample of the population, we weighted the data for each day to the proportions of gender, age, ethnicity, education and country of living obtained from the Office for National Statistics (ONS, 2018). Where results for subgroups show volatility, this could be a product of the sample size being smaller so caution in interpreting these results is encouraged.

The study is focusing specifically on the following questions:

1. What are the psychosocial experiences of people in isolation?
2. How do trajectories of mental health and loneliness change over time for people in isolation?
3. Which groups are at greater risk of experiencing adverse effects of isolation than others?
4. How are individuals’ health behaviours being affected?
5. Which activities help to buffer against the potential adverse effects of isolation?

The study has full ethical and data protection approval and is fully GDPR compliant. For further information or to request specific analyses, please contact Dr Daisy Fancourt d.fancourt@ucl.ac.uk. To participate, visit www.COVIDSocialStudy.org

Demographics of respondents included in this report

Table: Demographics of observations from participants in the pooled raw data (unweighted; data are weighted for analyses)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of observations</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-29</td>
<td>31,966</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-59</td>
<td>260,365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60+</td>
<td>158,004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>112,883</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>335,537</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>429,391</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAME</td>
<td>19,524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK nations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>England</td>
<td>365,889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wales</td>
<td>50,145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scotland</td>
<td>29,284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Ireland</td>
<td>4,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living arrangement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not living alone</td>
<td>359,771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living alone</td>
<td>90,129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual household income</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;30k</td>
<td>247,557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;30k</td>
<td>159,479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any diagnosed mental health conditions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>371,058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>79,277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keyworker</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>351,417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>98,918</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living with children</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No (excluding those who live alone)</td>
<td>249,721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>110,050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living area</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Village/hamlet/isolated dwelling</td>
<td>108,692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City/large town/small town</td>
<td>341,226</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>